Message

Anderson, Milo [anderson.milo@epa.gov] From:

Sent: 1/4/2018 7:25:24 PM

To: Kimble, Jeffrey [kimble.jeffrey@epa.gov] CC: Wilson, Jennifer [wilson.jenniferA@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: Wolverine Worldwide - field sampling info and preliminary comparison

Jeff,

In the lab results comparison table you sent, are the results under the 'START' columns from EPA's recent sampling? To what does START refer?

Milo

From: Kimble, Jeffrey

Sent: Thursday, January 04, 2018 8:46 AM

To: Harris, Kimberly harris.kimberly@epa.gov; Wilson, Jennifer wilson, Jennifer wilson.jenniferA@epa.gov <a href="mailto:wilson.jennif

Cc: Mankowski, Matthew <mankowski.matthew@epa.gov>; Cooper, Brian <cooper.brian@epa.gov>; Anderson, Milo <anderson.milo@epa.gov>; Clark, Jacqueline <clark.jacqueline@epa.gov>; Williams, Thomas <williams.tom@epa.gov>;

Donnelly, Peggy < Donnelly. Peggy@epa.gov>; El-Zein, Jason < el-zein.jason@epa.gov>; Schupp, George

<schupp.george@epa.gov>; Zintek, Lawrence <zintek.lawrence@epa.gov>; Johnson, Mark <johnson.mark@epa.gov>

Subject: Wolverine Worldwide - field sampling info and preliminary comparison

Attached are 2 documents. The first is a compilation of the information collected for all sampling points.

The second is a comparison of preliminary CRL data with historic Wolverine data from monitoring wells on House Street and the former tanner property. HSL = House Street Landfill and FWT = Former Wolverine Tannery. MW = monitoring well (one is TMW because that is how wolverine labeled it previously). THIS IS A DRAFT OF PRELIMINARY DATA! FOR FYI ONLY.

See the write up below for the description of how the table is broken out, including which samples are being held at CRL.

Larry/George, the red highlighted compounds in the comparison table are the ones TetraTech were unsure if they matched up with CRL. It appears Wolverines data has 23 compounds analyzed for while your has 24.

Jennifer, is the 23 compounds consistent with what you have reviewed in the drinking water sample results?

From: Brent Ritchie [mailto:JRitchie@manniksmithgroup.com]

Sent: Wednesday, January 03, 2018 4:58 PM To: Kimble, Jeffrey < kimble.jeffrey@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Enforcement confidential and deliberative. Do not release for FOIAs or discoveries.: Creating a map of the

Sampling EPA Did in the Field for Wolverine

Jeff.

Attached is the data summary from the iPADs which has all the information requested. I cleaned it up a little. The tab with the monitoring summary is information on each of the houses (or monitoring wells) with a column for comments which describes all of the information about filters and other pertinent info related to each location. The tab with the sampling information breaks down all of the samples collected. Unless it is stated explicitly in the comments as CRL SAMPLE ONLY, the samples all went to Eurofins with the exception of any comments which state CRL sample also

collected, those are the samples that went to CRL but are on hold. The GPS is correct for the homes and the surface water samples, but the monitoring wells are not correct. I do not have survey data from GZA on the monitoring wells but that is something we should be able to get from them, otherwise the figures in the GZA reports have monitoring well locations for House Street and the Former Tannery. If you want I can send those as well.

Feel free to have anyone call me with ?s or call me yourself as well.

Thanks

Brent Ritchie, MS Senior Project Geologist The Mannik & Smith Group, Inc.

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy www.manniksmithgroup.com